arXiv:0711.2798v1 [math.DG] 18 Nov 2007

Almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifolds and a 4-dimensional Lie group with such structure

Kostadin Gribachev, Mancho Manev*

2007/10/23

Abstract. Almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifolds are considered. Isotropic hyper-Kähler manifolds are introduced. A 4-parametric family of 4-dimensional manifolds of this type is constructed on a Lie group. This family is characterized geometrically. The condition a 4-manifold to be isotropic hyper-Kähler is given.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53C26, 53C15, 53C50, 53C55
Key words: almost hypercomplex manifold, pseudo-Hermitian metric, indefinite metric, Lie group

Contents

1 Hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian structures on a vector space **2**

2 Almost (H,G)-structures on a manifold **6**

3 A Lie group as a 4-dimensional (H,G)-manifold **8**

Introduction

The general setting of this paper is inspired by the work of D. V. Alekseevsky and S. Marchiafava [1]. Our purpose is to develop a parallel direction including indefinite metrics. More precisely we combine the ordinary Hermitian metrics with the so-called by us skew-Hermitian metrics with respect to the almost hypercomplex structure.

In the first section we consider an appropriate decomposition of the space of all bilinear forms on a vector space equipped with a hypercomplex structure.

---

*Corresponding author

Here we emphasize on a notion of the skew-Hermitian metric. In fact, we construct three skew-Hermitian metrics and one Hermitian, i.e. a pseudo-Hermitian structure.

In the second we develop the notion of an almost hypercomplex manifold with a pseudo-Hermitian structure and particularly the so-called pseudo-hyper-Kählerian and isotropic Kähler structures.

Finally, in the third section we equip a 4-dimensional Lie group with an almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian structure and we characterize it geometrically.

1 Hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian structures on a vector space

Let V be a real $4n$-dimensional vector space. A (local) basis on V is denoted by $\left\{\partial/\partial x^i, \partial/\partial y^i, \partial/\partial u^i, \partial/\partial v^i\right\}$, $i=1,2,\dots,n$. Each vector $\mathbf{x}$ of V is represented in the mentioned basis as follows

$$\mathbf{x} = x^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + u^i\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + v^i\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}. \tag{1}$$

A standard hypercomplex structure on V is defined as in [8]:

$$\begin{array}{llll}
J_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, & J_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, & J_1\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, & J_1\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i};\\
J_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, & J_2\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, & J_2\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, & J_2\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i};\\
J_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, & J_3\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, & J_3\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, & J_3\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}.
\end{array} \tag{2}$$

The following properties about J_i are direct consequences of (2)

$$\begin{array}{l}
J_1^2 = J_2^2 = J_3^2 = -\mathrm{Id},\\
J_1J_2 = -J_2J_1 = J_3, \quad J_2J_3 = -J_3J_2 = J_1, \quad J_3J_1 = -J_1J_3 = J_2.
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

If $x \in V$, i.e. $x(x^1,\dots,x^n;y^1,\dots,y^n;u^1,\dots,u^n;v^1,\dots,v^n)$ then according to (2) and (3) we have

$$\begin{array}{l}
J_1x(-y^1,\dots,-y^n;x^1,\dots,x^n;v^1,\dots,v^n;-u^1,\dots,-u^n),\\
J_2x(-u^1,\dots,-u^n;-v^1,\dots,-v^n;x^1,\dots,x^n;y^1,\dots,y^n),\\
J_3x(v^1,\dots,v^n;-u^1,\dots,-u^n;y^1,\dots,y^n;-x^1,\dots,-x^n).
\end{array}$$

Definition 1.1 ([1]) *1) A triple $H=(J_1,J_2,J_3)$ of anticommuting complex structures on V with $J_3=J_1J_2$ is called* a hypercomplex structure *on V;*

2) The 3-dimensional subspace $Q\equiv\langle H\rangle = \mathbb{R}J_1+\mathbb{R}J_2+\mathbb{R}J_3$ of the space of endomorphisms $\mathrm{End}V$ *is called* a quaternionic structure *on V. It is said that $H=(J_\alpha)$ is an* admissible basis *of Q.*

Note that two admissible bases H and H' of $Q = \langle H \rangle = \langle H' \rangle$ are related by an orthogonal matrix in SO(3).

The matrices of J_1 and J_2 are given in [8] by $(n \times n)$-sets of (4×4)-matrices $\mathbf{J}_\alpha = \operatorname{diag}(I_\alpha, I_\alpha, \dots, I_\alpha)$, where I_α $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ are respectively

$$I_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and consequently

$$I_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrices $\mathbf{J}_\alpha$ of the complex structures J_α $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ with respect to an admissible frame for $H = (J_\alpha)$ are called *standard matrices*.

A bilinear form f on V is defined as ordinary, $f:\ V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(V)$ the set of all bilinear forms on V. Each f is a tensor of type $(0, 2)$, and $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is a vector space of dimension $16n^2$.

Let J be a given complex structure on V. A bilinear form f on V is called *Hermitian* (respectively, *skew-Hermitian*) with respect to J if the identity $f(Jx, Jy) = f(x, y)$ (respectively, $f(Jx, Jy) = -f(x, y)$ holds true.

Definition 1.2 ([1]) *A bilinear form f on V is called* an Hermitian bilinear form *with respect to $H = (J_\alpha)$ if it is Hermitian with respect to any complex structure J_α, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, i.e.*

$$f(J_\alpha x, J_\alpha y) = f(x, y), \qquad \forall x, y \in V.$$

We will denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{H}}(V)$ the set of all Hermitian bilinear forms on V.

In [6] is introduced the notion of pseudo-Hermitian bilinear forms, namely:

Definition 1.3 ([6]) *A bilinear form f on V is called* a pseudo-Hermitian bilinear form with respect to $H = (J_1, J_2, J_3)$*, if it is Hermitian with respect to J_α and skew-Hermitian with respect to J_β and J_γ, i.e.*

$$f(J_\alpha x, J_\alpha y) = -f(J_\beta x, J_\beta y) = -f(J_\gamma x, J_\gamma y) = f(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in V, \tag{4}$$

where (α, β, γ) is a circular permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$*.*

Now, let us show the existence of the introduced bilinear forms on V.

We denote $f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}(V)$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ when f satisfies the conditions (4). Let us remark that $\mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{H}}(V)$ is a subspace of the vector space $\mathcal{B}(V)$. The projector $\Pi_H : \mathcal{B}(V) \to \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{H}}(V)$ is defined in [1] as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f \to (\Pi_{\mathrm{H}} f)(x, y) := \frac{1}{4}\{f(x, y) &+ f(J_1x, J_1y) \\ &+ f(J_2x, J_2y) + f(J_3x, J_3y)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For convenience we set $\Pi_0 := \Pi_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 := \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{H}}(V)$. Clearly, Π_0 is a projector, i.e. $\Pi_0^2 = \Pi_0$.

Analogously we define the operators: $\Pi_\alpha : \mathcal{B}(V) \to \mathcal{B}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f \to (\Pi_\alpha f)(x, y) := \frac{1}{4}\{f(x, y) &+ f(J_\alpha x, J_\alpha y) \\ &- f(J_\beta x, J_\beta y) - f(J_\gamma x, J_\gamma y)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where (α, β, γ) is a circular permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$. It is not difficult to see that $\Pi_\alpha f \in \mathcal{B}_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$.

In view of (5)–(6) the following proposition holds:

Proposition 1.1 *The vector space $\mathcal{B}(V)$ admits the following decomposition*

$$\mathcal{B}(V) = \mathcal{B}_0 \oplus \mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2 \oplus \mathcal{B}_3, \quad \mathcal{B}_\alpha = \mathrm{Im}\Pi_\alpha,\ \alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$

where the operators Π_0, Π_1, Π_2 and Π_3 are projectors with values in $\mathcal{B}(V)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Pi_\alpha^2 = \Pi_\alpha, & \Pi_0 + \Pi_1 + \Pi_2 + \Pi_3 = \mathrm{Id}, \\ \Pi_\alpha \circ \Pi_\beta = \Pi_\beta \circ \Pi_\alpha = 0, & \alpha \neq \beta; \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}. \end{array}$$

So, pseudo-Hermitian bilinear forms exist and moreover they are three types in any vector space V equipped with a hypercomplex structure H, denoted by (V, H).

Let x determined by (1) and

$$y = a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + b^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + c^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + d^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

be arbitrary vectors on V. Following [9], we define as in [6] a pseudo-Euclidean metric of signature $(2n, 2n)$ on V by a symmetric bilinear form g as follows

$$g(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-x^i a^i - y^i b^i + u^i c^i + v^i d^i\right).$$

Hence for the local basis $\left\{\partial/\partial x^i, \partial/\partial y^i, \partial/\partial u^i, \partial/\partial v^i\right\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ on V we have for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} -g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) &= -g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = \delta_{ij}, \\ g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}\right) &= g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j}\right) \\ &= g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial v^j}\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that if we denote $e_i = \partial/\partial x^i$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ then according to (2) the basis

$$(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n; J_1e_1, J_1e_2, \ldots, J_1e_n; \ldots; J_3e_1, J_3e_2, \ldots, J_3e_n) \tag{7}$$

is an *an admissible basis of* H and it is orthonormal with respect to g.

Because of the properties

$$g(J_1x, J_1y) = -g(J_2x, J_2y) = -g(J_3x, J_3y) = g(x, y), \tag{8}$$

the pseudo-Euclidean metric g is a symmetric pseudo-Hermitian bilinear form and $g \in \mathcal{B}_1$. Moreover, $g_1(x, y) := g(J_1x, y) = -g(J_1y, x)$ coincides with the known Kähler form with respect to J_1, i.e. $\Phi(x, y) := g_1(x, y)$ [6].

The associated bilinear forms $g_2(x, y) := g(J_2x, y)$ and $g_3(x, y) := g(J_3x, y)$ of g are symmetric and $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_0, g \in \mathcal{B}_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{B}_3, g_3 \in \mathcal{B}_2$, i.e. the Kähler form Φ is Hermitian and g, g_2, g_3 are pseudo-Hermitian of different types, but they have the same signature $(2n, 2n)$. Then the structure $(H, G) := (H, g, \Phi, g_2, g_3)$ is called *a hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian structure* on V [6].

According to [8], the matrices that commute with J_α $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ are $A = (A_{ij})$, $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, where every (A_{ij}) is a (4×4)-matrix of the form

$$A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ -Q & P \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ d & -c \end{pmatrix}, \quad a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The set of the J_α-commuting matrices, that are invertible, is a group which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$.

The pseudo-Euclidean metric g has a matrix with respect to the basis (7) of the form $\mathbf{g} = \mathrm{diag}(g, g, \ldots, g)$, where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} -I_2 & O_2 \\ O_2 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group preserving $\mathbf{g}$ is defined by the condition $A^T\mathbf{g}A = \mathbf{g}$ for arbitrary $A \in \mathrm{GL}(4n, \mathbb{R})$. It is clear that the group which preserves $\mathbf{g}$ is $\mathrm{O}(2n, 2n)$.

The structural group of (V,H,G) has the property to preserve the structures J_α and the metric g (consequently Φ, g_2, g_3, too). Then this structural group is the intersection of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})$ and $\mathrm{O}(2n,2n)$. We get immediately that

$$A \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H}) \cap \mathrm{O}(2n,2n) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad a^2+b^2=1,\ c=d=0.$$

Therefore $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{H})\cap\mathrm{O}(2n,2n)$ is an 1-parametrical group, i.e. the elements A_{ij} of A depend on 1 real parameter.

2 Almost (H,G)-structures on a manifold

Let (M,H) be an almost hypercomplex manifold [1]. We suppose that g is a symmetric tensor field of type $(0,2)$. If it induces a pseudo-Hermitian inner product in T_pM, $p\in M$, then g is called *a pseudo-Hermitian metric on* M. The structure $(H,G) := (J_1,J_2,J_3,g,\Phi,g_2,g_3)$ is called *an almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian structure on* M or in short *an almost* (H,G)*-structure on* M. The manifold M equipped with H and G, i.e. (M,H,G), is called *an almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifold*, or in short *an almost* (H,G)*-manifold*. The structural tensors of the almost (H,G)-manifold are the three tensors of type $(0,3)$ determined by

$$F_\alpha(x,y,z) = g\big((\nabla_x J_\alpha)\,y,z\big) = \big(\nabla_x g_\alpha\big)\,(y,z)\,, \qquad \alpha=1,2,3, \tag{9}$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection generated by g [6].
The properties of H and g imply the following properties of F_α:

$$\begin{array}{l} F_1(x,y,z) = F_2(x,J_3y,z)+F_3(x,y,J_2z),\\ F_2(x,y,z) = F_3(x,J_1y,z)+F_1(x,y,J_3z),\\ F_3(x,y,z) = F_1(x,J_2y,z)-F_2(x,y,J_1z); \end{array} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} F_1(x,y,z) = -F_1(x,z,y) = -F_1(x,J_1y,J_1z),\\ F_2(x,y,z) = F_2(x,z,y) = F_2(x,J_2y,J_2z),\\ F_3(x,y,z) = F_3(x,z,y) = F_3(x,J_3y,J_3z). \end{array} \tag{11}$$

Let us consider the Nijenhuis tensors N_α for J_α and $X,Y\in\mathfrak{X}(M)$ given by $N_\alpha(X,Y) = [J_\alpha X, J_\alpha Y] - J_\alpha\,[J_\alpha X,Y] - J_\alpha\,[X,J_\alpha Y] - [X,Y]$. It is well known that the almost hypercomplex structure $H=(J_\alpha)$ is a *hypercomplex structure* if N_α vanishes for each $\alpha=1,2,3$. Moreover, it is known that one almost hypercomplex structure H is hypercomplex if and only if two of the structures J_α $(\alpha=1,2,3)$ are integrable. This means that two of the tensors N_α vanish [1].
Let us note that according to (8) the manifold (M,J_1,g) is almost Hermitian and the manifolds (M,J_α,g), $\alpha=2,3$, are almost complex manifolds with Norden

metric (or B-metric) [2, 3]. The basic classes of the mentioned two types of manifolds for dimension $4n$ are:

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathcal{W}_1(J_1): & F_1(x,y,z) = -F_1(y,x,z), \\ \mathcal{W}_2(J_1): & \mathfrak{S}_{x,y,z}\big\{F_1(x,y,z)\big\} = 0, \\ \mathcal{W}_3(J_1): & F_1(x,y,z) = F_1(J_1x,J_1y,z), \quad \theta_1 = 0, \\ \mathcal{W}_4(J_1): & F_1(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{2(2n-1)}\{g(x,y)\theta_1(z) - g(x,z)\theta_1(y) \\ & \qquad -g(x,J_1y)\theta_1(J_1z) + g(x,J_1z)\theta_1(J_1y)\}, \end{array} \tag{12}$$

where $\theta_1(\cdot) = g^{ij}F_1(e_i,e_j,\cdot)$ for an arbitrary basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{4n}$ [5];

$$\begin{array}{rl} \mathcal{W}_1(J_\alpha): & F_\alpha(x,y,z) = \frac{1}{4n}\big\{g(x,y)\theta_\alpha(z) + g(x,z)\theta_\alpha(y) \\ & \qquad +g(x,J_\alpha y)\theta_\alpha(J_\alpha z) + g(x,J_\alpha z)\theta_\alpha(J_\alpha y)\big\}, \\ \mathcal{W}_2(J_\alpha): & \mathfrak{S}_{x,y,z}\big\{F_\alpha(x,y,J_\alpha z)\big\} = 0, \quad \theta_\alpha = 0, \\ \mathcal{W}_3(J_\alpha): & \mathfrak{S}_{x,y,z}\big\{F_\alpha(x,y,z)\big\} = 0, \end{array} \tag{13}$$

where $\theta_\alpha(z) = g^{ij}F_\alpha(e_i,e_j,z)$, $\alpha = 2,3$, for an arbitrary basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{4n}$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ is the cyclic sum by three arguments [2].

The special class $\mathcal{W}_0(J_\alpha): F_\alpha = 0$ $(\alpha = 1,2,3)$ of the Kähler-type manifolds belongs to any other class within the corresponding classification.

We say that an almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifold is a *pseudo-hyper-Kähler manifold*, if $\nabla J_\alpha = 0$ $(\alpha = 1,2,3)$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection generated by g [6].

Clearly, in this case we have $F_\alpha = 0\,(\alpha = 1,2,3)$ or the manifold is Kählerian with respect to J_α, i.e. $(M,H,G) \in \mathcal{W}_0(J_\alpha)$.

Immediately we obtain

Proposition 2.1 *If $(M,H,G) \in \mathcal{W}_0(J_\alpha)\bigcap\mathcal{W}_0(J_\beta)$ then $(M,H,G) \in \mathcal{W}_0(J_\gamma)$ for all cyclic permutations (α,β,γ) of $(1,2,3)$ and (M,H,G) is pseudo-hyper-Kählerian.* □

A basic property of the pseudo-hyper-Kähler manifolds is given in [6] by the following

Theorem 2.2 ([6]) *Each pseudo-hyper-Kähler manifold is a flat pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(2n,2n)$.* □

As g is an indefinite metric, there exist isotropic vector fields X on M, i.e. $g(X,X) = 0$, $X \neq 0$, $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Following [4] we define the invariants

$$\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2 = g^{ij}g^{kl}g\big((\nabla_i J_\alpha)\,e_k, (\nabla_j J_\alpha)\,e_l\big), \qquad \alpha = 1,2,3, \tag{14}$$

where $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{4n}$ is an arbitrary basis of T_pM, $p \in M$. Let us remark that the invariant $\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2$ is the scalar square of the $(1,2)$-tensor ∇J_α.

Definition 2.1 *We say that an (H, G)-manifold is:*

(i) isotropic Kählerian with respect to J_α if $\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2 = 0$ for some $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;

(ii) isotropic hyper-Kählerian if it is isotropic Kählerian with respect to every J_α of H.

Clearly, if (M, H, G) is pseudo-hyper-Kählerian, then it is an isotropic hyper-Kähler manifold. The inverse statement does not hold.

3 A Lie group as a 4-dimensional (H, G)-manifold

In [7] is constructed an example of a 4-dimensional Lie group equipped with a quasi-Kähler structure and Norden metric g, i.e. it is a $\mathcal{W}_3$-manifold according to (13). There it is characterized with respect to ∇ of g.

Theorem 3.1 ([7]) *Let (L, J, g) be a 4-dimensional almost complex manifold with Norden metric, where L is a connected Lie group with a corresponding Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ determined by the global basis of left invariant vector fields $\{X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4\}$; J is an almost complex structure defined by*

$$JX_1 = X_3, \quad JX_2 = X_4, \quad JX_3 = -X_1, \quad JX_4 = -X_2; \tag{15}$$

g is an invariant Norden metric determined by

$$\begin{aligned} &g(X_1, X_1) = g(X_2, X_2) = -g(X_3, X_3) = -g(X_4, X_4) = 1, \\ &g(X_i, X_j) = 0, \quad i \neq j; \qquad g([X_i, X_j], X_k) + g([X_i, X_k], X_j) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Then (L, J, g) is a quasi-Kähler manifold with Norden metric if and only if L belongs to the 4-parametric family of Lie groups determined by the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &[X_1, X_3] = \lambda_2 X_2 + \lambda_4 X_4, && [X_2, X_4] = \lambda_1 X_1 + \lambda_3 X_3, \\ &[X_2, X_3] = -\lambda_2 X_1 - \lambda_3 X_4, && [X_3, X_4] = -\lambda_4 X_1 + \lambda_3 X_2, \\ &[X_4, X_1] = \lambda_1 X_2 + \lambda_4 X_3, && [X_2, X_1] = -\lambda_2 X_3 + \lambda_1 X_4, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ $(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$. □

The components of ∇ are determined ([7]) by (17) and

$$\nabla_{X_i} X_j = \frac{1}{2}[X_i, X_j] \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4). \tag{18}$$

Hence the components $R_{ijks} = R(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_s)$ $(i, j, k, s = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ of the curvature tensor R on (L, g) are: [7]

$$\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1221} = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2\right), & R_{1331} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_4^2\right), \\
R_{1441} = -\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_4^2\right), & R_{2332} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2\right), \\
R_{2442} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_3^2\right), & R_{3443} = \frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_4^2\right), \\
R_{1341} = R_{2342} = -\frac{1}{4}\lambda_1\lambda_2, & R_{2132} = -R_{4134} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1\lambda_3, \\
R_{1231} = -R_{4234} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1\lambda_4, & R_{2142} = -R_{3143} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_2\lambda_3, \\
R_{1241} = -R_{3243} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_2\lambda_4, & R_{3123} = R_{4124} = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_3\lambda_4,
\end{array} \tag{19}$$

and the scalar curvature τ on (L, g) is [7]

$$\tau = -\frac{3}{2}\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2\right). \tag{20}$$

Now we introduce a hypercomplex structure $H = (J_1, J_2, J_3)$ by the following way. At first, let J_2 be the given almost complex structure J by (15). Secondly, we define an almost complex structure J_1 as follows

$$J_1: \quad J_1X_1 = X_2, \quad J_1X_2 = -X_1, \quad J_1X_3 = -X_4, \quad J_1X_4 = X_3. \tag{21}$$

Finally, let the almost complex structure J_3 be the composition of J_1 after J_2, i.e. $J_3 = J_1J_2$.

Then the introduced structure (H, G) on L has the properties (3) and (8). Hence we have the following

Theorem 3.2 *The manifold* (L, H, G) *is an almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifold of dimension 4.* □

We continue by a characterization of the constructed manifold (L, H, G).

Let $(F_\alpha)_{ijk} = F_\alpha(X_i, X_j, X_k)$ and $(\theta_\alpha)_i = \theta_\alpha(X_i)$ be the components of the structural tensor F_α and its Lee form θ_α $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$, respectively. The nonzero components of F_2 are: [7]

$$\begin{array}{l}
-(F_2)_{122} = -(F_2)_{144} = 2(F_2)_{212} = 2(F_2)_{221} = 2(F_2)_{234} \\
= 2(F_2)_{243} = 2(F_2)_{414} = -2(F_2)_{423} = -2(F_2)_{432} = 2(F_2)_{441} = \lambda_1, \\
2(F_2)_{112} = 2(F_2)_{121} = 2(F_2)_{134} = 2(F_2)_{143} = -(F_2)_{211} \\
= -(F_2)_{233} = -2(F_2)_{314} = 2(F_2)_{323} = 2(F_2)_{332} = -2(F_2)_{341} = \lambda_2, \\
2(F_2)_{214} = -2(F_2)_{223} = -2(F_2)_{232} = 2(F_2)_{241} = (F_2)_{322} \\
= (F_2)_{344} = -2(F_2)_{412} = -2(F_2)_{421} = -2(F_2)_{434} = -2(F_2)_{443} = \lambda_3, \\
-2(F_2)_{114} = 2(F_2)_{123} = 2(F_2)_{132} = -2(F_2)_{141} = -2(F_2)_{312} \\
= -2(F_2)_{321} = -2(F_2)_{334} = -2(F_2)_{343} = (F_2)_{411} = (F_2)_{433} = \lambda_4.
\end{array} \tag{22}$$

Then we have $\theta_2 = 0$. By this way we confirm the statement in Theorem (3.1) that the introduced manifold in [7] is of the basic class $\mathcal{W}_3$ with respect to J_2 within the classification (13), i.e.

$$(\mathrm{L}, J_2, g) \in \mathcal{W}_3(J_2). \tag{23}$$

Having in mind (16)–(18), (21) and (9), we obtain the nonzero components of F_1 as follows

$$\begin{array}{l}
(F_1)_{114} = -(F_1)_{123} = (F_1)_{132} = -(F_1)_{141} \\
\qquad = (F_1)_{213} = (F_1)_{224} = -(F_1)_{231} = -(F_1)_{242} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1; \\
-(F_1)_{113} = -(F_1)_{124} = (F_1)_{131} = (F_1)_{142} \\
\qquad = (F_1)_{214} = -(F_1)_{223} = (F_1)_{232} = -(F_1)_{241} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_2; \\
-(F_1)_{314} = (F_1)_{323} = -(F_1)_{332} = (F_1)_{341} \\
\qquad = (F_1)_{413} = (F_1)_{424} = -(F_1)_{431} = -(F_1)_{442} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3; \\
-(F_1)_{313} = -(F_1)_{324} = (F_1)_{331} = (F_1)_{342} \\
\qquad = -(F_1)_{414} = (F_1)_{423} = -(F_1)_{432} = (F_1)_{441} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda_4.
\end{array} \tag{24}$$

Then we have

$$(\theta_1)_1 = -\lambda_4, \quad (\theta_1)_2 = \lambda_3, \quad (\theta_1)_3 = -\lambda_2, \quad (\theta_1)_4 = \lambda_1.$$

Since $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$ then the 4-dimensional almost Hermitian manifold (L, J_1, g) is not Kählerian and $\theta_1 \neq 0$.

The validity of the property $F_1(X, Y, Z) = F_1(J_1X, J_1Y, Z)$ is verified by us in virtue of (21) and (24). It is equivalent to the vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor of J_1, i.e. $N_1 = 0$. According to [5] for dimension 4 we get that the considered manifold belongs to the basic class $\mathcal{W}_4(J_1)$ within (12), i.e.

$$(\mathrm{L}, J_1, g) \in \mathcal{W}_4(J_1). \tag{25}$$

As it is known [5], this class contains the conformally Kähler manifolds of Hermitian type. The necessary and sufficient condition a $\mathcal{W}_4(J_1)$-manifold to be locally or globally conformally Kählerian one is the Lee form θ_1 to be closed or exact. The basic components of $\mathrm{d}\theta_1$ are:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_1, X_2) = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2, & \mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_2, X_4) = \mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_3, X_1) = \lambda_1\lambda_4 + \lambda_2\lambda_3, \\
\mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_3, X_4) = -\lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2, & \mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_1, X_4) = \mathrm{d}\theta_1(X_2, X_3) = \lambda_1\lambda_3 - \lambda_2\lambda_4.
\end{array}$$

Hence, θ_1 is not closed and therefore the constructed $\mathcal{W}_4(J_1)$-manifold is not conformally Kählerian.

Having in mind (10), (22), (24), we compute the following nonzero components of F_3:

$$\begin{gathered}
(F_3)_{112} = (F_3)_{121} = -(F_3)_{134} = -(F_3)_{143} = -2(F_3)_{211} = -2(F_3)_{244} \\
= (F_3)_{413} = (F_3)_{431} = (F_3)_{424} = (F_3)_{442} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_1, \\
2(F_3)_{122} = 2(F_3)_{133} = -(F_3)_{212} = -(F_3)_{221} = (F_3)_{234} = (F_3)_{243} \\
= -(F_3)_{313} = -(F_3)_{331} = -(F_3)_{324} = -(F_3)_{342} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_2, \\
(F_3)_{213} = (F_3)_{231} = (F_3)_{224} = (F_3)_{242} = -(F_3)_{312} = -(F_3)_{321} \\
= (F_3)_{343} = (F_3)_{334} = -2(F_3)_{422} = -2(F_3)_{433} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_3, \\
-(F_3)_{113} = -(F_3)_{124} = -(F_3)_{131} = -(F_3)_{142} = 2(F_3)_{311} = 2(F_3)_{344} \\
= (F_3)_{412} = (F_3)_{421} = -(F_3)_{434} = -(F_3)_{443} = \tfrac{1}{2}\lambda_4.
\end{gathered} \tag{26}$$

Hence, we establish directly that $\theta_3 = 0$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{i,j,k}(F_3)_{ijk} = 0$. Therefore we obtain that the considered manifold belongs to the basic class $\mathcal{W}_3(J_3)$, i.e.

$$(\mathrm{L}, J_3, g) \in \mathcal{W}_3(J_3). \tag{27}$$

Let us summarize the conclusions (23), (25) and (27) in the following statement.

Theorem 3.3 *The constructed 4-dimensional almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifold* (L, H, G) *on the Lie group* L *belongs to basic classes with respect to the three almost complex structures of different types as follows*

$$(\mathrm{L}, H, G) \in \mathcal{W}_4(J_1) \cap \mathcal{W}_3(J_2) \cap \mathcal{W}_3(J_3). \qquad \square$$

The square norm $\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2$ of ∇J_α for an almost complex structure J_α is defined in [4] by (14). Having in mind the definition $F_\alpha(X,Y,Z) = g\big((\nabla_X J_\alpha)Y, Z\big)$ of the tensor F_α, we obtain the following equation for the square norm of ∇J_α

$$\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2 = g^{ij} g^{kl} g^{pq} (F_\alpha)_{ikp} (F_\alpha)_{jlq},$$

therefore

$$\|\nabla J_\alpha\|^2 = \|F_\alpha\|^2, \qquad \alpha = 1,2,3. \tag{28}$$

By virtue of (24), (22), (26) we receive immediately that

$$-2\,\|\nabla J_1\|^2 = \|\nabla J_2\|^2 = \|\nabla J_3\|^2 = 4\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2\right).$$

The last equations and Equation (20) imply

Proposition 3.4 *(i) If the manifold* (L, H, G) *is isotropic Kählerian with respect to some* J_α $(\alpha = 1,2,3)$ *then it is isotropic hyper-Kählerian;*

(ii) The manifold (L, H, G) *is isotropic hyper-Kählerian if and only if the condition* $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2 = 0$ *holds;*

(iii) The manifold (L, H, G) *is isotropic hyper-Kählerian if and only if it has zero scalar curvature* τ. □

The space of unitary invariants of order 2 for a 4-dimensional Hermitian manifold is determined by the three quantities: τ, τ_1^* and $\|\nabla\Phi\|^2 = 2\,\|\delta\Phi\|^2$, where $\tau_1^* = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} g^{kl} R(X_i, J_1 X_j, X_k, J_1 X_l)$ [5].
In other words, as $\|F_1\|^2 = \|\nabla\Phi\|^2$ and $\|\theta_1\|^2 = \|\delta\Phi\|^2$ we get

$$2\tau_1^* = -\,\|\theta_1\|^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2.$$

Let us compute the associated scalar curvatures τ_α^* on $(\mathrm{L}, J_\alpha, g)$ for $\alpha = 2, 3$ by $\tau_\alpha^* := g^{ij} g^{kl} R(X_i, X_k, J_\alpha X_l, X_j)$ [3]. Then, using (19), we obtain

$$\tau_2^* = \lambda_1\lambda_3 + \lambda_2\lambda_4, \qquad \tau_3^* = \lambda_1\lambda_4 - \lambda_2\lambda_3.$$

Having in mind the definitions of the Nijenhuis tensors N_α of J_α $(\alpha = 2, 3)$ and the commutators (17), we get the components $N_\alpha(X_i, X_j)$ and after that the square norm of N_α as follows

$$\|N_\alpha\|^2 = 32\left(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - \lambda_3^2 - \lambda_4^2\right), \quad \alpha = 2, 3.$$

It is clear, according to Proposition (3.4) that the manifold (L, H, G) is isotropic hyper-Kählerian and scalar flat if and only if it has isotropic Nijenhuis tensors of J_2 and J_3.

References

[1] D.V. Alekseevsky and S. Marchiafava, Quaternionic structures on a manifold and subordinated structures, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) **CLXXI** (1996) 205-273. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6

[2] G. Ganchev and A. Borisov, Note on the almost complex manifolds with a Norden metric, Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci. **39** (1986) 31-34. 7

[3] G. Ganchev, K. Gribachev and V. Mihova, B-Connections and their Conformal Invariants on Conformally Kahler Manifolds with B-Metric, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **42(56)** (1987) 107-121. 7, 12

[4] E. García-Río and Y. Matsushita, Isotropic Kähler structures on Engel 4-manifolds, J. Geom. Phys. **33** (2000) 288-294. 7, 11

[5] A. Gray and L.M. Hervella, The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (IV) **CXXIII** (1980) 35-58. 7, 10, 12

[6] K. Gribachev, M. Manev and S. Dimiev, On the almost hypercomplex pseudo-Hermitian manifolds, in: S. Dimiev and K. Sekigawa, Eds., Trends of Complex Analysis, Differential Geometry and Mathematical Physics, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 2003, pp. 51-62. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

[7] K. Gribachev, M. Manev and D. Mekerov. A Lie group as a 4-dimensional quasi-Kähler manifold with Norden metric, JP Jour. Geometry & Topology **6** (2006), no.1, 55-68. 8, 9, 10

[8] A. Sommese, Quaternionic manifolds, Math. Ann. **212** (1975) 191-214. 2, 3, 5

[9] J. Wolf, Spaces of constant curvature, University of California, Berkley, CA, 1972. 4

Kostadin Gribachev, Mancho Manev
University of Plovdiv
Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Department of Geometry
236 Bulgaria blvd.
Plovdiv 4003
Bulgaria
`e-mail: costas@uni-plovdiv.bg, mmanev@yahoo.com`
`http://www.fmi-plovdiv.org/manev`